

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SUSAN SHULTZ,

Plaintiff,

v.

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:22-cv-00507-JLT-HBK

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO
DISMISS CASE WITHOUT PREJUDICE
PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 183(b)

14-DAY DEADLINE

Plaintiff Susan Schultz, who is proceeding pro se and *in forma pauperis*, initiated this action on April 29, 2022 by filing a form “Complaint for Violation of Civil Rights. (Doc. No. 1, “Complaint”). For the reasons set forth below, the undersigned recommends that the District Court dismiss this action consistent with the Court’s Local Rule for Plaintiff’s failure to prosecute this action. Specifically, Plaintiff failed to keep the Court apprised of a current address.

BACKGROUND

On April 11, 2023, the Court issued an order reassigning this case to the current district court judge. (Doc. No. 5). On June 20, 2023, the April 11, 2023 Order was returned as undeliverable. *See* docket. On April 25, 2023, the Court issued a screening order finding the pro se complaint failed to implead this Court’s jurisdiction and otherwise failed to state federal claim.

1 (Doc. No. 6). On July 10, 2023, the April 25, 2023 screening order was returned as
2 undeliverable. Plaintiff's change of address was due no later than August 22, 2023. Local Rule
3 183(b). Plaintiff has not filed an updated address as required by Local Rule 182(f) and the time to
4 do so has expired. *See* docket.

5 **APPLICABLE LAW AND ANALYSIS**

6 Plaintiff was obligated to keep this Court informed of her proper address. Specifically:

7 [a] party appearing *in propria persona* shall keep the Court and
8 opposing parties advised as to his or her current address. If mail
9 directed to a plaintiff *in propria persona* by the Clerk is returned by
10 the U.S. Postal Service, and if such plaintiff fails to notify the Court
and opposing parties within sixty-three (63) days thereafter of a
current address, the Court may dismiss the action without prejudice
for failure to prosecute.
11 Local Rule 183(b); *see also* Local Rule 182(f) (all parties are "under a continuing duty" to notify
12 the clerk of "any change of address[.]"). Precedent supports a dismissal of a case when a litigant
13 does not keep the court apprised on his address. *Carey v. King*, 856 F.2d 1439 (9th Cir. 1988)
14 (affirming lower court and finding no abuse of discretion when district court dismissed case
15 without prejudice after pro se plaintiff did not comply with local rule requiring pro se plaintiffs
16 keep court apprised of addresses at all times); *Henderson v. Duncan*, 779 F.2d 1421, 1424 (9th
17 Cir. 1986) (dismissal proper for failure to prosecute and comply with local rules of court); *Hanley*
18 *v. Opinski*, 2018 WL 3388510 (E.D. Ca. July 10, 2018) (dismissing action for failure to prosecute
19 and to provide court with current address); *Davis v. Kern Valley State Prison*, No. 1:22-CV-1489-
20 JLT-EPG (PC), 2023 WL 2992980, at *1, fn 1 (E.D. Cal. Apr. 18, 2023). More than sixty-three
21 (63) days has passed since the Court's April 11, 2023 Order was returned as undeliverable, and
22 Plaintiff has not filed a notice of change of address.

23 Accordingly, it is **RECOMMENDED**:

24 This case be dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Local Rule 183(b) for Plaintiff's
25 failure to prosecute this action.

26 **NOTICE TO PARTIES**

27 These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States district judge
28 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen (14)

1 days after being served with these findings and recommendations, a party may file written
2 objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's
3 Findings and Recommendations." Parties are advised that failure to file objections within the
4 specified time may result in the waiver of rights on appeal. *Wilkerson v. Wheeler*, 772 F.3d 834,
5 838-39 (9th Cir. 2014) (citing *Baxter v. Sullivan*, 923 F.2d 1391, 1394 (9th Cir. 1991)).
6

7 Dated: August 23, 2023


HELENA M. BARCH-KUCHTA
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28